

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address CoMMISSE NERO FRATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Workington LOC (2021) www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09 647,479		09 29 2000	Barry Huston Meyrick	PM-271586 SM	7070
909	7590	04 17 2002			
PILLSBUR P.O. BOX 10		HROP, LLP	EXAMINER		
MCLEAN, VA 22102				SHOSHO, CALLIE E	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1714	સુ
			DATE MAILED: 04 17 2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/647,479	MEYRICK ET AL.				
omee notion cummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Callie E. Shosho	1714				
Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U S.C. § 133)				
Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 A	nril 2002					
/ _	s action is non-final.	and the second s				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>3-8,11 and 12</u> is/are pending in the a	oplication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>3-8,11 and 12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers	,					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exar	miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	• •					
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
Patent and Trademark Office						

Application Number: 09/647,479

Art Unit: 1714

þ

DETAILED ACTION

1. All outstanding rejections except for those described below are overcome by applicants' amendment filed 4/8/02.

In light of the new grounds of rejection as set forth in paragraph 4 below, the finality of the previous office action mailed 1/14/02 is withdrawn, and the following action is non-final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 3, 5-8, and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 732381, alone, or alternatively, in view of Lent et al. (U.S. 5,837,042).

The rejection is adequately set forth in paragraph 5 of the office action mailed 8/8/01, Paper No. 4, and is incorporated here by reference.

4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 732381 alone, or alternatively, in view of Lent et al. as applied to claims 3, 5-8, and 11-13 above, and further in view of Suzuki et al. (U.S. 6,153,001).

The difference between EP 732381 alone, or alternatively, in view of Lent et al. and the present claimed invention is the requirement in the claims of benzyl alcohol.

Suzuki et al., which is drawn to ink jet ink, disclose the use of benzyl alcohol in addition to the use of water-soluble organic solvent such as N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone as disclosed by EP

Application Number: 09/647,479

Art Unit: 1714

732381, in order to produce an ink with suitable drying time that produces clear images (col.12, lines 30-31 and 48-62).

In light of the motivation for using benzyl alcohol disclosed by Suzuki et al. as described above, it therefore would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use such solvent in the ink of EP 732381 in order to produce an ink with suitable drying time that produces clear images, and thereby arrive at the claimed invention.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicants' arguments regarding Knable et al. (U.S.4,532,276) and Batlaw et al. (U.S. 5,429,841) have been fully considered but are moot in view of the discontinuation of these references against the present claims.
- 6. Applicants' arguments filed 4/8/02 have been fully considered but with the exception of arguments relating to Knable et al. and Batlaw et al., they are not persuasive.

Specifically, applicants argue that:

EP 732381 discloses crosslinked urethane polymers not non-crosslinked urethane as presently claimed.

It is noted that the present claims require a water-dissipatible polyurethane <u>not</u> a non-crosslinked polyurethane. While EP 732381 discloses the use of crosslinked polyurethane, it is noted that page 5, lines 34-35 of EP 732381 discloses that the crosslinked polyurethane is in the form of an aqueous dispersion, i.e. the polyurethane is in fact water-dissipatible as presently

claimed. Additionally, page 9, lines 7-8 of EP 732781 discloses that solvents are used in order to improve the dispersability of the aqueous phase.

Thus, it is clear that although EP 732381 discloses crosslinked polyurethane, EP 732781 still meets the claimed limitation that the polyurethane is water-dissipatible.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Callie E. Shosho whose telephone number is 703-305-0208. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (6:30-4:00) Alternate Fridays Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 703-306-2777. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Callie E. Shosho Examiner Art Unit 1714

Callie Shosho April 15, 2002

Lui Shah,